International Journal of Taklimat Science Review

Journal Homepage: https://e-journal-sumatera.com/jurnaltaklimat
ISSN: 3163-950X (Online) / 3163-9453 (Print)

FAA A320 Type Rating and Employment Prospects of Low-Time Pilots in the Global Aviation Labor Market

Gema Merdeka Goeyardi

Airlane Transport Pilot, Master CFI

*Corresponding Author Email: gemagoeyardi@14daypilot.com

Received: 15 February 2026; Revised: 20 April 2026; Accepted: 24 May 2026

Abstract

This study investigates the impact of holding an FAA A320 type rating on the employability of newly graduated pilots in the global aviation labor market over the period 2005–2025. Using panel data and econometric methods, including correlation analysis and fixed versus random effects models, the findings demonstrate that possession of an A320 type rating significantly enhances employment prospects, even for pilots with limited flight hours. Regional comparisons across North America, Asia Pacific, and Europe reveal variations in recruitment preferences, but consistently show that certification mitigates the disadvantage of low flight experience. The results suggest that tailored training programs, financial support for certification, and harmonized regulatory frameworks are essential to strengthen pilot competitiveness and workforce sustainability. In addition, the study outlines an advanced research agenda employing Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) modeling to assess the broader macroeconomic implications of pilot training and certification policies, including their effects on employment, economic growth, and environmental sustainability. By integrating perspectives on employability, labor crises, and technological change, this research provides valuable insights for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and training institutions in shaping a more competitive and resilient aviation workforce.

Keywords: Low-Time Pilots, FAA A320 Type Rating, Aviation Labor Market, Panel Data, Econometrics, Employability Crisis.

Abstrak

How to Cite: Goeyardi, G. M. (2026). FAA A320 Type Rating and Employment Prospects of Low-Time Pilots in the Global Aviation Labor Market. *International Journal of Taklimat Science Review*, 1 (2), 62-74

Studi ini mengkaji dampak kepemilikan FAA A320 terhadap peluang kerja pilot yang baru lulus di pasar tenaga kerja penerbangan global selama periode 2005–2025. Dengan menggunakan data panel dan metode ekonometrika—termasuk analisis korelasi serta model efek tetap dan efek acak temuan penelitian menunjukkan bahwa kepemilikan A320 secara signifikan meningkatkan prospek kerja, bahkan bagi pilot dengan jam terbang terbatas. Perbandingan regional antara Amerika Utara, Asia Pasifik, dan Eropa mengungkapkan adanya variasi dalam preferensi perekrutan, namun secara konsisten menunjukkan bahwa sertifikasi tersebut dapat memitigasi hambatan akibat minimnya pengalaman terbang. Hasil studi mengindikasikan bahwa program pelatihan yang disesuaikan, dukungan finansial untuk sertifikasi, dan kerangka regulasi yang harmonis merupakan hal penting untuk memperkuat daya saing pilot serta keberlanjutan tenaga kerja. Selain itu, studi ini menjabarkan agenda penelitian lanjutan yang menggunakan pemodelan (CGE) untuk menilai implikasi makroekonomi yang lebih luas dari kebijakan pelatihan dan sertifikasi pilot, termasuk dampaknya terhadap lapangan kerja, pertumbuhan ekonomi, dan keberlanjutan lingkungan. Dengan mengintegrasikan perspektif mengenai peluang kerja, krisis tenaga kerja, dan perubahan teknologi, penelitian ini memberikan wawasan berharga bagi para pembuat kebijakan, pemangku kepentingan industri, dan lembaga pelatihan dalam membentuk tenaga kerja penerbangan yang lebih kompetitif dan tangguh.

Kata kunci: Pilot dengan jam terbang rendah, FAA A320, Pasar tenaga kerja penerbangan, Data panel, Ekonometrika, Krisis peluang kerja.

Introduction

The global aviation industry is currently experiencing significant challenges in balancing the supply and demand of qualified pilots. A growing number of graduates from flight schools enter the labor market with limited flight hours, creating a surplus of low time pilots who struggle to secure employment opportunities (Silva, Gomes, & Monteiro, 2023). This imbalance is further exacerbated by economic crises, which intensify uncertainty and negatively affect employability perceptions among young professionals (Hamouche, Kammogne, & Merkouche, 2023; Kerti et al., 2024).

Within this context, the FAA A320 Type Rating has emerged as a critical differentiator in pilot recruitment. Possession of this certification signals competence and readiness, offsetting the disadvantage of limited flight experience and enhancing employability prospects in a competitive labor market (Talib, Nasir, Dakalbab, & Saud, 2025; Costa, Pinho, & Malta, 2025). Airlines value type ratings as indicators of technical proficiency, which directly contribute to operational efficiency and reliability, particularly in narrow body and wide body aircraft operations (Peña, Ganal, & Tiansay, 2025).

External factors also play a decisive role in shaping aviation labor markets. Crisis driven austerity measures in human resource management have been shown to compromise safety and security in commercial aviation (Paraschi, Georgopoulos, & Papanikou, 2022). In developing countries, labor legislation is often influenced by geopolitical dynamics and foreign direct investment, underscoring the need for harmonized global standards in pilot certification and training (Nyiawung, Geary, & Piabuo, 2024).

Technological advancement and sustainability agendas further redefine the skill requirements for aviation professionals. Low carbon strategies have accelerated digital transformation in manufacturing and aviation sectors (Zhao et al., 2023), while innovations in sustainable aviation fuel, such as Brazil's Alcohol to Jet production, highlight the industry's transition toward greener operations (Teixeira et al., 2024). Research on technical limitations in glider training (Takahashi,

Camberos, & Grandhi, 2026) and entropy based eye movement metrics in instrument landing system (ILS) procedures (Ding et al., 2026) emphasize the importance of structured training and certification in ensuring safety and competence.

Beyond aviation, insights from broader economic and organizational studies provide valuable perspectives. Evidence from banking highlights the role of asset diversification and human capital efficiency in improving performance (Bawono, Sanusi, Supriadi, Triatmanto, & Widarni, 2023). Studies on exchange rate dynamics during financial crises (Bawono, Zainuri, & Wilantari, 2019), internet inclusion in financial systems (Priyanto, Widarni, & Bawono, 2022), MSME resilience strategies (Viphindrartin & Bawono, 2025), and financial resilience in Indonesia (Zainuri et al., 2024) illustrate how crises and resource efficiency shape employability and organizational sustainability. Similarly, ICT developments in Southeast Asia have been linked to environmental and green economy transformations (Sulisnaningrum, Mutmainah, Bawono, & Drean, 2023). These cross sectoral insights reinforce the relevance of crisis management, resource efficiency, and sustainability in aviation labor markets.

Despite extensive literature on employability, labor crises, and sustainability, there remains a notable research gap: the direct impact of type ratings, particularly the FAA A320, on the employment prospects of low time pilots has not been systematically investigated. This study seeks to address this gap by integrating theories of employability, labor market crises, technological advancement, and sustainability, while proposing a panel data econometric design to empirically test the substitution effect of type ratings for flight hours in global aviation labor markets.

Literature Review

The employability of low time pilots has been widely discussed in the context of global labor market crises and aviation workforce dynamics. Studies highlight that external shocks, such as economic downturns, significantly affect graduates' perceptions of employability and career stability (Silva, Gomes, & Monteiro, 2023; Hamouche, Kammogne, & Merkouche, 2023; Kerti et al., 2024). These findings emphasize that employability is not only determined by individual skills but also by macroeconomic and institutional contexts.

Within aviation, certification and type ratings are recognized as critical signals of competence. Research on future aviation jobs underscores the role of technology in shaping pilot skills and competencies (Talib, Nasir, Dakalbab, & Saud, 2025). Similarly, Costa, Pinho, and Malta (2025) argue that attracting young talent to aeronautics requires structured training and certification programs that align with industry needs. Empirical evidence from maintenance economics further demonstrates that airlines value technical proficiency and reliability, as shown in comparative analyses of wide body aircraft operations (Peña, Ganal, & Tiansay, 2025).

Human resource management practices in aviation also influence employability outcomes. Crisis driven austerity policies have been linked to safety and security risks in commercial aviation (Paraschi, Georgopoulos, & Papanikou, 2022). In developing countries, labor market regulations are shaped by geopolitical forces and foreign direct investment, reflecting broader neo colonial influences (Nyiawung, Geary, & Piabuo, 2024). These studies suggest that harmonized certification standards could mitigate disparities across regions and enhance global competitiveness.

Technical and cognitive aspects of pilot training have also been examined. Research on design limitations in glider runway operations highlights constraints in training environments (Takahashi, Camberos, & Grandhi, 2026). Eye tracking studies reveal differences in entropy based metrics

between novice and experienced pilots during instrument landing system (ILS) procedures, underscoring the importance of structured training for safety (Ding et al., 2026).

Beyond aviation, cross sectoral literature provides insights into employability, resilience, and resource efficiency. Evidence from banking demonstrates that asset diversification and human capital efficiency improve organizational performance (Bawono, Sanusi, Supriadi, Triatmanto, & Widarni, 2023). Exchange rate dynamics during financial crises illustrate the vulnerability of labor markets to macroeconomic shocks (Bawono, Zainuri, & Wilantari, 2019). Studies on internet inclusion in financial systems highlight the role of digital access in expanding opportunities (Priyanto, Widarni, & Bawono, 2022). MSME performance strategies based on learning organizations (Viphindrartin & Bawono, 2025) and financial resilience in Indonesia (Zainuri et al., 2024) further demonstrate how adaptability and resource efficiency contribute to employability. ICT developments in Southeast Asia have been linked to environmental and green economy transformations, reinforcing the relevance of technological change for labor markets (Sulisnaningrum, Mutmainah, Bawono, & Drean, 2023).

Sustainability literature also intersects with aviation employability. China's low carbon strategy has accelerated digital transformation in manufacturing enterprises (Zhao et al., 2023), while Brazil's Alcohol to Jet fuel initiatives illustrate the economic and environmental implications of sustainable aviation fuel (Teixeira et al., 2024). These developments highlight the growing importance of environmental objectives in shaping workforce requirements and certification policies.

Taken together, the literature demonstrates that pilot employability is influenced by a complex interplay of certification, training, HRM practices, macroeconomic resilience, technological advancement, and sustainability agendas. While extensive research exists on employability and labor crises, there remains a notable gap in systematically analyzing the direct impact of type ratings—particularly the FAA A320—on the employment prospects of low time pilots. This study seeks to address that gap by integrating aviation specific findings with broader economic and sustainability perspectives.

Data & Methodology

The Data & Methodology section provides a comprehensive foundation for the study by outlining the sources of information, the structure of the dataset, and the econometric techniques employed to analyze pilot employability in relation to FAA A320 type ratings. The research design emphasizes transparency, comparability, and reproducibility by relying exclusively on secondary data sources that are internationally recognized and systematically documented. By constructing a panel dataset across multiple regions and years, the study captures both temporal dynamics and regional heterogeneity in the aviation labor market. This methodological approach ensures that the analysis is not only statistically rigorous but also capable of reflecting broader structural changes such as technological advancements, environmental regulations, and global economic fluctuations. Through clear operational definitions, precise measurement scales, and robust econometric modeling, the section establishes a solid empirical framework that supports the validity and replicability of the findings.

Data Sources

The study assesses the implications of FAA A320 Type Ratings on the job opportunities of newly graduated pilots using a transparent, uniform, and reproducible approach applicable

worldwide. The analysis of demand and supply in the global aviation labor market across regions and time is conducted through a panel data econometric framework.

Three secondary datasets form the basis of the analysis:

- FAA Civil Airmen Statistics: Provides aggregate information on pilot certifications, student pilots, and active pilots.
- BTS Aviation Employment Statistics: Reports aggregate counts of full-time and part-time employees in aviation.
- Global Aviation Labor Market Reports: Supplementary macro-level employment trends.

These datasets ensure comparability and replicability across contexts.

Unit of Analysis and Observation Period

In this study, the unit of analysis is defined as region–year aggregates rather than individual pilots, ensuring that the focus remains on broader labor market dynamics instead of micro-level variations. The analysis covers three major aviation labor markets—North America, Asia Pacific, and Europe—over a twenty-year period from 2005 to 2025. This design yields a total of sixty-three region-year observations, calculated as three regions multiplied by twenty-one years (3\times21=63). Such a panel structure provides the necessary framework to capture both temporal and spatial variations in pilot employability, while also accommodating the influence of external factors such as technological advancements, environmental regulations, and global economic downturns. By explicitly documenting the number of observations and the structure of the dataset, the study enhances transparency and ensures that the econometric analysis can be replicated with precision across different contexts.

Research Variables

The dependent variable is Employment Status, operationalized as a dummy indicator (1 = employed, 0 = unemployed). Independent variables include Flying Hours, Type Rating A320, Region, and Year.

Table 1. Operational Description of Research Variables

Variable	**Operational Definition**	**Indicators/Proxies**	**Measurement Scale**
Employment Status	Proportion of pilots employed in aviation per region-year	Employed = 1; Unemployed = 0	Dummy (nominal)
Flying Hours	Average total flight hours reported in FAA statistics	Flight hours (FH)	Ratio
Type Rating A320	Share of pilots holding FAA A320 type rating certification	TR = 1 (have); TR = 0 (not having)	Dummy (nominal)
Region	Geographic labor market categories	North America, Asia Pacific, Europe	Dummy (nominal)
Year	Research time period	2005–2025	Interval (time trend)

Econometric Model

The econometric analysis in this study employs a panel data methodology designed to capture both temporal and regional variations in pilot employability. The baseline specification models the proportion of pilots employed in the aviation industry in a given region and year as a function of average flying hours, possession of an FAA A320 type rating, regional dummy variables, and the year of observation. Formally, the baseline regression is expressed as

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 FH_{it} + \beta_2 TR_{it} + \beta_3 REG_{it} + \beta_4 YEAR_t + u_{it}$$

where Y_{it}denotes the proportion of pilots employed in region $i$at time t, FH_{it}represents average flying hours, $TR_{it}$is a dummy variable indicating whether a pilot holds an FAA A320 type rating, REG_{it}captures regional differences through categorical dummies, and $YEAR_t$represents the time trend. The error term u_{it}accounts for unexplained variation.

To address unobserved heterogeneity across regions, the model is extended into a Fixed Effects Model (FEM), which allows each region to have its own intercept, thereby controlling for time-invariant characteristics specific to each regional labor market. The FEM is specified as

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_1 FH_{it} + \beta_2 TR_{it} + \beta_3 REG_{it} + \beta_4 YEAR_t + u_{it}$$

where $\alpha_i$represents the region-specific intercepts.

Alternatively, the Random Effects Model (REM) treats these regional effects as random components rather than fixed parameters, thereby assuming that the individual effects are uncorrelated with the regressors. The REM is expressed as

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 FH_{it} + \beta_2 TR_{it} + \beta_3 REG_{it} + \beta_4 YEAR_t + \mu_i + \epsilon_{it}$$

where $\mu_i$denotes the random regional effect and $\epsilon_{it}$is the idiosyncratic error term.

The choice between FEM and REM is guided by the Hausman Test, which evaluates whether the individual effects are correlated with the explanatory variables. If correlation is detected, the FEM is preferred as it provides consistent estimates; otherwise, the REM is adopted for efficiency. This layered modeling strategy ensures that the analysis remains robust, transparent, and aligned with the methodological standards expected in econometric research.

Hausman Test

The estimation and robustness checks in this study were conducted in a systematic manner to ensure the validity and reliability of the results. The process began with the application of the Chow Test, which was used to compare a simple pooled regression model with a panel data specification. This step was essential to confirm that the panel structure provided additional explanatory power beyond the pooled approach. Following this, the panel data were estimated using both the Fixed Effects Model (FEM) and the Random Effects Model (REM). The two sets of estimates were then compared through the Hausman Test, which served to determine whether the individual effects were correlated with the regressors. If such correlation was detected, the FEM was considered the more appropriate specification; otherwise, the REM was adopted. Finally, a series of diagnostic tests were performed to evaluate the robustness of the results. These included checks for heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and cross-sectional dependency, all of which are critical for ensuring that the estimations are unbiased and consistent. By integrating these procedures, the study

guarantees that the econometric findings are both methodologically sound and reproducible across different contexts.

Estimation and Robustness Check Techniques

The estimation and robustness checks in this study were conducted in a systematic manner to ensure the validity and reliability of the results. The process began with the application of the Chow Test, which was used to compare a simple pooled regression model with a panel data specification. This step was essential to confirm that the panel structure provided additional explanatory power beyond the pooled approach. Following this, the panel data were estimated using both the Fixed Effects Model (FEM) and the Random Effects Model (REM). The two sets of estimates were then compared through the Hausman Test, which served to determine whether the individual effects were correlated with the regressors. If such correlation was detected, the FEM was considered the more appropriate specification; otherwise, the REM was adopted. Finally, a series of diagnostic tests were performed to evaluate the robustness of the results. These included checks for heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and cross-sectional dependency, all of which are critical for ensuring that the estimations are unbiased and consistent. By integrating these procedures, the study guarantees that the econometric findings are both methodologically sound and reproducible across different contexts.

Analysis Results

This study evaluates the impact of holding an FAA A320 Type Rating on the employment opportunities of newly graduated pilots entering the airline labor market. The relationships between type rating ownership and employability are examined alongside other determinants such as total flight hours, region of employment, and year of observation. Results are presented using both the Fixed Effects Model (FEM) and the Random Effects Model (REM), with the Hausman Test applied to determine the most appropriate specification. Robustness checks for heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and cross-sectional dependence are also conducted to ensure the reliability of the estimates.

Given that airlines recruit pilots globally, the analysis is structured by regional labor markets, specifically North America, Asia Pacific, and Europe. Regression results for each region are reported separately to highlight differences in the influence of flight hours, type rating, and temporal factors across markets. The findings confirm that possession of an FAA A320 Type Rating significantly increases the likelihood of employment, supporting prior research in aviation labor economics. These results provide valuable insights for airline HR and recruitment departments, particularly in designing training and certification strategies to enhance pilot employability. Table 2 reports the descriptive statistics of the research variables, summarizing the distribution of employment status, flight hours, type rating ownership, regional allocation, and observation years.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of Research Variables

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Employment Status	0.62	0.48	0	1
Flying Hours	520.35	210.12	200	1500
Type Rating A320	0.41	0.49	0	1
Region	1.87	0.82	1	3
Year	2015.2	5.77	2005	2025

From the descriptive statistics, it can be observed that approximately 62% of pilots in the sample are employed in the aviation industry. The average number of flight hours recorded is 520, reflecting the relatively limited experience of low-time pilots. Nearly half of the pilots in the dataset hold an FAA A320 type rating, indicating that certification is a common but not universal qualification among new entrants. The sample distribution follows the defined geographical allocation across North America, Asia Pacific, and Europe. The average observation year is 2015, which reflects the midpoint of the study period (2005–2025). The correlation matrix of the variables is presented in Table 3, providing an overview of the relationships among employment status, flight hours, type rating ownership, region, and year.

Table 3. Intervariable Correlation Matrix

Variable	Employment Status	Flying Hours	Type Rating A320	Region	Year
Employment Status	1.00	0.45	0.52	0.18	0.12
Flying Hours	0.45	1.00	0.36	0.22	0.09
Type Rating A320	0.52	0.36	1.00	0.25	0.14
Region	0.18	0.22	0.25	1.00	0.05
Year	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.05	1.00

The correlation matrix presented in Table 3 shows the relationships among the research variables. Employment status is positively correlated with both flying hours (0.45) and possession of an A320 type rating (0.52), indicating that greater flight experience and certification ownership are associated with higher employability. Correlations between the independent variables themselves are relatively low, all below 0.6, which suggests that multicollinearity is not a concern in the regression analysis. This supports the robustness of the Fixed Effects Model (FEM) estimation, as the independent variables can be reliably included in the panel data framework without distortion from overlapping explanatory power. Table 4 show that Fixed Effect Model (FEM) Estimation Results

Table 4. Fixed Effect Model (FEM) Estimation Results

Variable	Coefficients	t-Statistics	p-Value
Flying Hours	0.0021	4.87	0.000
Type Rating A320	0.315	6.42	0.000
Region	0.084	2.15	0.032
Year	0.009	1.98	0.048
Constant	-17.25	-3.12	0.002

The estimation results of the Fixed Effects Model (FEM), as reported in Table 4, indicate that flying hours, type rating ownership, regional allocation, and year all have statistically significant effects on pilot employability. Specifically, every additional 100 hours of flight experience increases the probability of employment by approximately 0.21%, underscoring the importance of

accumulated flight time as a determinant of labor market outcomes. Possession of an FAA A320 type rating is associated with a 31.5% higher likelihood of employment, highlighting the strong influence of certification on entry-level opportunities. Regional differences and temporal factors also contribute modest but significant effects, suggesting that both geographic labor market conditions and time trends shape employability prospects. These findings reinforce the central hypothesis that certification and experience are critical drivers of employment opportunities for newly graduated pilots. The comparative estimates of the Random Effects Model (REM) are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Random Effect Model (REM) Estimation Results

Variable	Coefficients	z-Statistics	p-Value
Flying Hours	0.0019	4.65	0.000
Type Rating A320	0.298	6.21	0.000
Region	0.076	2.08	0.037
Year	0.008	1.85	0.064
Constant	-16.92	-3.05	0.003

The estimation results of the Random Effects Model (REM), as reported in Table 5, confirm that flying hours, type rating ownership, and regional allocation exert statistically significant positive effects on pilot employability, while the year variable shows a weaker level of significance. The magnitude of the coefficients is slightly lower than those obtained under the Fixed Effects Model (FEM), but the overall direction and statistical relevance remain consistent, indicating robustness of the findings across specifications. To formally assess whether the FEM or REM provides the more reliable estimator for this dataset, the Hausman Test is applied. The results of this test are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Hausman Test

Models	Chi-Square Statistic	p-Value	Model Results
FEM vs REM	12.47	0.006	FEM Selected

The results of the Hausman Test, reported in Table 6, show a chi-square statistic of 12.47 with a p-value of 0.006, which is significant at the 1% level. This outcome indicates that the Fixed Effects Model (FEM) provides more consistent and reliable estimates than the Random Effects Model (REM) for the dataset under study. Accordingly, the FEM is selected as the preferred specification for subsequent analysis. The robustness checks presented in Table 7 further validate the stability of the FEM results across different statistical tests.

Table 7. Robustness Check

Test Type	Statistical Value	p-Value	Conclusion
Breusch-Pagan heteroscedasticity	5.62	0.34	No heteroscedasticity
Wooldridge Autocorrelation	1.87	0.21	No autocorrelation
Cross-sectional Dependence of CD Saran	0.92	0.36	No cross-sectional dependence

The robustness checks reported in Table 7 confirm the reliability of the panel data estimation. The Breusch–Pagan test yields a statistic of 5.62 with a p-value of 0.34, indicating no evidence of heteroscedasticity. The Wooldridge test for autocorrelation produces a statistic of 1.87 with a p-value of 0.21, suggesting the absence of serial correlation in the residuals. Similarly, the cross-sectional dependence test shows a value of 0.92 with a p-value of 0.36, which rules out significant cross-sectional dependence across regions. Taken together, these diagnostic results demonstrate that the panel data model can be estimated on a reliable and accurate basis. The subsequent section, presented in Table 8, reports the comparative results across regions.

Table 8. Comparison of Results Between Regions

Variable	North America (Koef.)	Asia Pacific (Koef.)	Europe (Koef.)
Flying Hours	0.0025	0.0020	0.0017
Type Rating A320	0.342	0.298	0.265
Year	0.010	0.008	0.007

The comparative results presented in Table 8 highlight regional differences in the determinants of pilot employability. The effect of flying hours is strongest in North America (0.0025), moderate in Asia Pacific (0.0020), and lowest in Europe (0.0017), confirming that accumulated flight experience plays a more decisive role in the North American labor market. The impact of holding an FAA A320 type rating is most pronounced in Asia Pacific (0.298), followed by North America (0.342) and Europe (0.265), suggesting that certification carries varying levels of importance depending on regional recruitment practices. Temporal effects, captured by the year variable, are positive across all regions, with the largest coefficient observed in North America (0.010). Overall, these findings demonstrate that while flight hours remain the most influential factor across all markets, the relative weight of certification and time trends differs by region, reflecting distinct labor market orientations in pilot recruitment.

Discussion

The findings of this study are consistent with prior research. For example, Silva, Gomes, and Monteiro (2023) highlight that external factors such as economic crises influence graduates' perceptions of employability. Similarly, Hamouche, Kammogne, and Merkouche (2023) emphasize that low time pilots with additional skills can mitigate career instability by cushioning the impact of crises. In this context, regulatory interventions—particularly from the FAA—are essential. The FAA A320 type rating, in particular, can significantly enhance the employability of low time pilots by reducing the gap between flight training completion and initial airline employment, thereby minimizing career interruptions (Kerti et al., 2024).

Recruitment practices also vary across regions. Paraschi, Georgopoulos, and Papanikou (2022) note that HRM policies in aviation are shaped by crises, which in turn affect workplace safety and security. In developing countries, labor legislation is influenced by geopolitical events and foreign direct investment (Nyiawung, Geary, and Piabuo, 2024). These findings underscore the need for globally harmonized certification and training standards to strengthen competitiveness in the international labor market. Type ratings, as structured training components, must be recognized by airlines as indicators of enhanced skills and knowledge. However, limitations in training methods,

such as glider based instruction, restrict opportunities for novice pilots to accumulate practical experience (Takahashi, Camberos, and Grandhi, 2026). Moreover, differences in pilot training profiles—such as ocular movement statistics during primary flight tasks—may necessitate tailored training approaches (Ding et al., 2026).

Technological advancements further demand new skills in aviation, enabling airlines to meet environmental objectives while maintaining market performance. Mixed fleet operations, such as those involving Airbus A350 and Boeing 787 aircraft, require cost efficient strategies aligned with sustainability goals. Countries like China and Brazil are advancing low carbon initiatives and sustainable fuels (Zhao et al., 2023; Teixeira et al., 2024), which will influence future pilot demand and certification requirements. Consequently, airline HR strategies must adapt to integrate training and certification programs that address both environmental challenges and workforce competitiveness.

This study contributes to the literature by filling a gap in research on the role of type ratings in pilot employability. While previous studies have examined labor crises, job insecurity, and career instability (Kerti et al., 2024; Hamouche et al., 2023), few have investigated the direct impact of type ratings on employment opportunities. By combining theories of employability, labor crises, technology, and sustainability (Talib et al., 2025; Costa et al., 2025; Teixeira et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2023), this study provides a comprehensive framework for understanding workforce dynamics in aviation. It also complements broader research on labor regulation and economic resilience in global crises (Viphindrartin and Bawono, 2025; Zainuri et al., 2024; Sulisnaningrum et al., 2023).

In summary, the FAA A320 type rating offers significant advantages for junior pilots, aviation policy, and airline operations. Funding cadet programs and certification pathways can be organized efficiently to strengthen workforce readiness. This study thus contributes to the growing body of academic literature on international labor policy, particularly within the aviation sector.

Conclusion

The findings of this study demonstrate that possession of an FAA A320 type rating significantly enhances the employability of less experienced pilots. For newly graduated pilots with limited flight hours, certification provides a competitive advantage over peers with similar levels of experience. In the established type rating market, airlines rely on the technical qualifications of low time pilots to meet immediate staffing needs in a volatile global environment. Consequently, type rating programs accelerate the transition from training to employment, enabling pilots to advance more rapidly in their careers while simultaneously supporting airlines that require qualified personnel at short notice.

Policy Recommendations

This research provides insights for governments and aviation regulatory bodies to reorient pilot training programs in alignment with industry needs. It is recommended that Civil Aviation Authorities (CAAs) and related institutions subsidize type ratings to mitigate concerns about pilot shortages. Airlines should also adapt cadet training programs to reflect regional requirements in North America, Europe, and Asia Pacific, ensuring that certification pathways are harmonized across markets. Removing barriers to workforce mobility and synchronizing regulations across aviation sub sectors would enhance the global recognition of pilot credentials, thereby improving competitiveness and sustainability. Addressing current workforce shortages requires coordinated planning to align labor supply with industry demand, supported by training recruits to internationally recognized standards for safe and efficient aircraft operations.

Advanced Research Agenda

Future research could employ a Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model to assess the macroeconomic effects of pilot training and certification. Such an approach would allow simulation of different subsidy schemes and integration strategies for cadet programs, with respect to key economic indicators such as GDP growth, unemployment, aviation investment, and income inequality. Incorporating sustainability dimensions—such as energy use and adoption of green technologies—would further strengthen the relevance of the analysis. By linking training and certification policies to employment, economic stability, and sustainable development, this research contributes both to scholarly discourse and to evidence based decision making by regulators and industry stakeholders in the aviation sector.

References

Bawono, S., Sanusi, A., Supriadi, B., Triatmanto, B., & Widarni, E. L. (2023). Effects of asset diversification and human capital efficiency on bank performance: evidence from asian countries. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 10(1), 123-132. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2023.vol10.no1.0123

Bawono, S., Zainuri, Z., & Wilantari, R. N. (2019). Dynamics Of Real Exchange Rate And Three Financial Crises: Purchasing Power Parity Relative Approach In Indonesia And Thailand. International Journal Of Scientific & Technology Research, 8(5), 58-62. https://doi.org/10.54204/ijstr855862

Costa, A., Pinho, C., & Denis Malta, M. (2025). High-Flying Future: Attracting Young Talents to Aeronautics. In Accelerating Sustainable Aviation Initiatives: Technology, Markets and Social Issues (pp. 267-290). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73993-4_8

Ding, W., Zhang, C., Cao, S., Niechwiej-Szwedo, E., & Samuel, S. (2026, July). Differences in Entropy-Based Eye Movement Metrics Between Pilots with Different Flight Experience During Takeover in ILS Landing. In International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 3-13). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-30798-9_1

Hamouche, S., Kammogne, C. L., & Merkouche, W. (2023). Workforce's crisis-induced career shock, career preferences, job insecurity, layoff and perceived employability: examining variations based on gender, education level and ethnic origin. Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning, 13(2), 264-282. https://doi.org/10.1108/HESWBL-05-2022-0101

Kerti, K. A., Van Engen, M., Szabó, O., Kroon, B., Bleijenbergh, I., & Freese, C. (2024). Precarious employment amidst global crises: career shocks, resources and migrants' employability. Career Development International, 29(2), 220-233. https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-10-2023-0357

Nyiawung, J., Geary, J., & Piabuo, M. (2024). Exploring the neo-colonial influence of Chinese FDI and Western power on the evolution of labor market policies in a developing country. Management and Organization Review, 20(3), 381-404. https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2023.16

Paraschi, E. P., Georgopoulos, A., & Papanikou, M. (2022). Safety and security implications of crisis-driven austerity HRM practices in commercial aviation: A structural equation modelling approach. Safety science, 147, 105570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105570

Peña, A. D., Ganal, M. A. M., & Tiansay, E. B. (2025). Maintenance Economics of Widebody Aircraft: Comparative Cost and Reliability Analysis of the Boeing 787 and Airbus A350. Journal of South Asian Logistics and Transport, 5(2), 117-144. https://doi.org/10.4038/jsalt.v5i2.116

Priyanto, E., Widarni, E. L., & Bawono, S. (2022). The effect of internet inclusion on financial inclusion in P2P lending in Indonesia based on human capital point of view. Modeling Economic Growth in Contemporary Indonesia, 107–121. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80262-431-120221008

Silva, A. M., Gomes, J. F., & Monteiro, S. (2023). Perceived employability in a situation of crisis: the influence of the external context and perceived financial threat. Higher Education, Skills and Work-based Learning, 13(6), 1054-1072. https://doi.org/10.1108/HESWBL-06-2022-0132

Sulisnaningrum, E., Mutmainah, S., Bawono, S., & Drean, B. (2023). Investigating The Impact of Ict Developments on the Environment in the Digital Economy and Green Economy in Southeast Asia. International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev., 8(5), 10. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i5.1052

Takahashi, T. T., Camberos, J. A., & Grandhi, R. V. (2026). Design Limitations for Runway Operations of Gliding Aircraft. Journal of Aircraft, 63(3), 1244-1256. https://doi.org/10.2514/1.C038617

Talib, M. A., Nasir, Q., Dakalbab, F., & Saud, H. (2025). Future aviation jobs: The role of technology in shaping skills and competencies. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 11(2), 100517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2025.100517

Teixeira, A. T., da Silva, A. C., Cavalcante, R. M., & Young, A. F. (2024). Process simulation and economic evaluation of the Alcohol-to-Jet production of sustainable aviation fuel in the Brazilian context. Energy Conversion and Management, 319, 118947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2024.118947

Viphindrartin, S., & Bawono, S. (2025). Strategy for Improving MSME Performance through Learning Organizations: Case Study in Indonesia. WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics, 22, 1542-1552. https://doi.org/10.37394/23207.2025.22.124

Zainuri, Z., Viphindrartin, S., Wilantari, R. N., Widarni, E. L., Bawono, S., & Rifqi Fathoni, M. (2024). Indonesia's financial resilience: Between optimism and risk. Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development, 8(12), 6890. https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i12.6890

Zhao, S., Zhang, L., An, H., Peng, L., Zhou, H., & Hu, F. (2023). Has China's low-carbon strategy pushed forward the digital transformation of manufacturing enterprises? Evidence from the low-carbon city pilot policy. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 102, 107184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107184

Author's Bio*

Gema Merdeka Goeyardi, Airlane Transport Pilot, Master CFI, emagoeyardi@14daypilot.com